

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,482	04/03/2001	Menashi A. Cohenford	CYM-035	2116
21323 7	590 11/29/2002			
TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP			EXAMINER	
HIGH STREET 125 HIGH STR	REET	SIEW, JEFFREY		
BOSTON, MA	BOSTON, MA 02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002	1 -

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/825,482	COHENFORD ET AL.			
		Examin r	Art Unit			
		Jeffrey Siew	1656			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply						
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 S	eptember 2002 .				
2a)□	· · · · <u> </u>	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	on of Claims					
,—	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,15 and 16</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.				
· · · _	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 April 2001</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
تے رہ،	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen	•		,			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152) ort 4			

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. 1. Applicant has cancelled claims 17-37. Pending claims are 1-16.

Claim Objections

2. For clarity in claim13 it is requested that each primer be separately referred to by their SEQ ID NO e.g. MY09((SE ID NO:10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A) Claim 6 is indefinite because it is unclear as to whether the probe differs in sequence or in one nucleotide. It is unclear as to what the probe is different from when the recitation in the claim appears to be referring to itself.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,482 Page 3

Art Unit: 1656

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,5-8 &16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Steinman (US5,849,497 Dec. 15, 1998).

Steinman teach a method of detection of selected stain of an organisms comprising providing a sample that may comprise at least one selected and non selected strain, providing a plurality of primers complementary to regions of selected and non selected strain, exposing to at least one probe that is complementary to non selected strain in between primers and probe is nucleic acid analog, amplifying and detecting (see whole doc. esp. abstract & col.2 lines 4-55 & col. 1 line 40& 42). They teach a probes greater than 8 nucleotides (see Table I). They teach separation and detection by gel electrophoresis (see col. 10 lines 4-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3,4,9-12, ** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steinman (US5,849,497 Dec. 15, 1998) in view of Lancaster et al (US5,863,717 Jan. 26, 1999).

The teachings of Steinman are described previously.

Steinman do not teach HPV.

<u>Lancaster et al</u> teach PCR amplification of HPV(see whole document). They teach low risk strains HPV 6 & 11 and high risk HPV 16 & 18 (see col. 1 line 26 & 27). They teach the association with pathogenesis of cancer (see col. 1 lines 5-10).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to apply Lancaster et al's primers to Steinman method of PCR in order to detect different strains of HPV. Lancaster et al teach that HPV infection has high correlation with cervical cancer. It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to combine Steinman method of strain differentiation with Lancaster et al's primers in order to detect the high risk strains HPV in patients.

6. Claims 13 & 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steinman (US5,849,497 Dec. 15, 1998) in view of Mahoney et al (US6,045,993 April 4, 2000)

The teachings of Steinman are described previously.

Steinman do not teach SEQ ID NO:10 and 11 or cervical scrapings.

Mahoney et al teach PCR amplification with primers(see whole doc. esp. abstract). They teach primer of SEQ ID NO:1 which matches claimed SEQ ID NO:11. They teach primer SEQ ID NO:2 which matches claimed SEQ ID NO:10. They teach cervical specimens such as swabs and brushings, scrapings (see col.2 line 34).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to apply Mahoney et al's primers to Steinman method of detection in order to amply HPV in sample. Mahoney teach the successful amplification with SEQ IDNO:1 & 2 (see example 1). It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to apply Mahoney et al's teachings of primers to Steinman in order to successfully amplify HPV for detection.

SUMMARY

7. Claim 14 is objected to for depending on rejected claim. Claim 14 is free of the prior art. The closest prior art is Bauer et al who teach SEQ ID NO:21 which is 25 base pairs and used in probing HPV but Bauer et al explicitly state longer probes lead to less mismatches and give preferably sizes of 18-20 bases (see col. 9 lines 5-15). Moreover, Bauer et al do not teach or suggest the use of PNA blocker probes.

Art Unit: 1656

CONCLUSION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Siew whose telephone number is (703) 305-3886 and whose e-mail address is Jeffrey.Siew@uspto.gov. However, the office cannot guarantee security through the e-mail system nor should official papers be transmitted through this route. The examiner is on flex-time schedule and can best be reached on weekdays from 6:30 a.m. to 3 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, can be reached on (703)-308-1119.

Any inquiry of a general nature, matching or filed papers or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the <u>Tracey Johnson</u> for Art Unit 1637 whose telephone number is (703)-305-2982.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Center numbers for Group 1600 are Voice (703) 308-3290 and Before Final FAX (703) 872-9306 or After Final FAX (703) 30872-9307.

JEFFREY SIEW
PRIMARY EXAMINER

November 26, 2002

```
RESULT 5
AAT77886
     AAT77886 standard; DNA; 25 BP.
XX
AC
     AAT77886;
XX
DT
     06-OCT-1997 (first entry)
XX
DE
     Human papillomavirus probe FS10.
XX
KW
     Human; papillomavirus; HPV; probe; detection; ss.
XX
OS
     Synthetic.
XX
     US5639871-A.
PN
XX
PD
     17-JUN-1997.
XX
PF
     09-SEP-1988;
                    88US-0243486.
XX
PR
     24-SEP-1993;
                    93US-0126452.
PR
     09-SEP-1988;
                    88US-0243486.
PR
     10-MAR-1989;
                    89US-0322550.
PR
     09-SEP-1989;
                    89WO-US03747.
PR
     14-NOV-1990;
                    90US-0613142.
PR
     20-APR-1993;
                    93US-0050743.
PR
     01-JUN-1995;
                    95US-0457648.
XX
     (HOFF ) ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS INC.
PA
XX
PΙ
     Bauer HM, Gravitt PE,
                            Greer CE, Impraim CC, Manos MM;
PΙ
     Resnick RM, Zhang TY;
XX
DR
     WPI; 1997-332084/30.
XX
PT
     New oligo:nucleotide probes for human papilloma-virus - used for
PT
     detecting and typing HPV and for detecting previously unknown HPV
PT
     types and subtypes
XX
PS
     Disclosure; Columns 69-70; 94pp; English.
XX
CC
     The present sequence is a human papillomavirus (HPV) specific
CC
     probe.
XX
     Sequence 25 BP; 7 A; 7 C; 6 G; 5 T; 0 other;
SQ
                          100.0%; Score 17; DB 18; Length 25;
  Query Match
                          100.0%; Pred. No. 6.6;
  Best Local Similarity
            17; Conservative
                                0; Mismatches
  Matches
                                                   0; Indels
                                                                  0; Gaps
                                                                              0;
        1 TAGATACCACACGCAGT 17
Qу
          Db
        7 TAGATACCACACGCAGT 23
```

```
RESULT 7
AAT77886
     AAT77886 standard; DNA; 25 BP.
ID
XX
AC
     AAT77886;
XX
DT
     06-OCT-1997 (first entry)
XX
DE
     Human papillomavirus probe FS10.
XX
KW
     Human; papillomavirus; HPV; probe; detection; ss.
XX
os
     Synthetic.
XX
PN
     US5639871-A.
XX
PD
     17-JUN-1997.
XX
PF
     09-SEP-1988;
                    88US-0243486.
XX
PR
                    93US-0126452.
     24-SEP-1993;
PR
     09-SEP-1988;
                    88US-0243486.
PR
     10-MAR-1989;
                    89US-0322550.
     09-SEP-1989;
                    89WO-US03747.
PR
PR
     14-NOV-1990;
                    90US-0613142.
PR
     20-APR-1993;
                    93US-0050743.
PR
     01-JUN-1995;
                    95US-0457648.
XX
PA
     (HOFF ) ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS INC.
XX
PI
     Bauer HM, Gravitt PE,
                             Greer CE, Impraim CC, Manos MM;
PΙ
     Resnick RM,
                 Zhang TY;
XX
DR
     WPI; 1997-332084/30.
XX
PT
     New oligo:nucleotide probes for human papilloma-virus - used for
     detecting and typing HPV and for detecting previously unknown HPV
PT
PT
     types and subtypes
XX
PS
     Disclosure; Columns 69-70; 94pp; English.
XX
CC
     The present sequence is a human papillomavirus (HPV) specific
CC
     probe.
XX
     Sequence 25 BP; 7 A; 7 C; 6 G; 5 T; 0 other;
SO
                          100.0%; Score 15; DB 18; Length 25;
  Query Match
  Best Local Similarity
                          100.0%; Pred. No. 39;
  Matches
            15; Conservative
                                0; Mismatches
                                                   0; Indels
                                                                              0;
Qу
        1 AGATACCACACGCAG 15
          Db
        8 AGATACCACACGCAG 22
```